

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/693,375	Applicant(s) CHO ET AL.	
	Examiner Deborah Malamud	Art Unit 3766	

All Participants:

(1) Deborah Malamud.

(2) Daniel Chapik.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 July 2006

Time: 3:30pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

14-35

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A possible examiner's amendment prior to issue of the application was discussed. The examiner proposed to cancel claim 23 and add the language of that claim to the independent claim (claim 14), and also to correct a typo in claim 14. These changes were accepted by the applicant's representative..